## OGC HAS REVIEWED.

## COMPIDENTIAL

21 July 1950

MINIORANDEN FOR: CHIFF, SPECIAL SUPPORT STAFF

SULVEGT:

Dual Compensation - Retired Foreign Service

Officers as Consultants

TUT VILLE CIL

Hemo to ADPC from Acting Executive,

dtd 16 June 1950; Subj: |

Appointment of

25X1A

1. The application of the dual comparation law to retired formign service officers is chrouded in confusion. The Comparaller General has issued rulings or determinations which are themselves, we believe, contradictory and the Comptroller Coneral has further indicated that he differs with and, under some circumstances, will not be bound by the U. I. Court of Claims.

2. There are various statutes involved or which are of possible application, but the one of most concern under the rulings is 5 U.S.C., 8 58. This prohibits enyone receiving two salaries from the Coverment than the combined remineration would be in arcose of 12,000.00. The key case on this Section is Branswick v. the United States, 90 Court of Claims 285, 8 January 1940. Brunswick was retired from the foreign service and thereafter took three temporary positions in other branches of the government. The Comptroller Janeral thereupon withhold his retirement pay under the authority of Section 53. Bruswick such in the Court of Claims which supported his contention that the retirement pay under the foreign corrice annuity system was not salary within the meaning of Section 130 and that consequently there was no prohibition on receiving the retirement pay while holding the other positions. The Comptroller General, while homoring the payments to Browseick. has not accepted this case as a precedent for permitting payments in succeeding cases. Thus so far as the Comptroller is concerned, Section 50 problems payment of salary by the Covernment to a foreign service officer drawing retired pay. However, we have been informed that in a recont case, where on the death of a retired foreign service officer it was discovered that he had been receiving remmeration from other government sources, the Claims Division of the General Accounting Office did not see fit to require collection back from his estate.

## Approved For Release 2001/09/03 CIA RDP84-00709R000400070063-3

25X1A

selary to one individual. In Mr. case, the proposal le a fee for intermittent advisory consultation with no supervisory or administrative control being exercised by the Agency over the individual. There is no ruling under Section 50 on this specific point, but it would seem arguable that such a fee is not salary within the contemplation of Section 58. A definitive answer on this point would require a ruling by the Comptroller General which would involve a considerable period of time during which the Agency could not avail itself of services.

25X1A

25X1A

h. A practical alternative night be for Ar.

ask the foreign service administration for a determination as to whother they would feel it necessary to suspend his retirement armity on those days on which he received a fee from CIA. The officers of the foreign service with whom we have discussed this matter are Mr. Day of the Foreign Service Finance Division, Annuity Desk, extension 3907, and Mr. Tyerly of the Foreign Service Legal Dosk.

LAWRENCE R. HOUSTON General Counsel Legal Staff

Attach: Memo fr Acting Exec to ADPC; dtd 16 June 50; Appointment of Mr.

25X1A

LIUI/:nll

cc: Subject-Dual Compensation Chrono Megal Decisions